UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,420	03/06/2004	Kwok Ping Wong	4821	4125	
Mr. Eric Chan	7590 04/20/200	9	EXAMINER		
42 Pin Oaks Drive			SIMONE, TIMOTHY F		
Phoenixville, P.	A 1946U		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3742		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/20/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/797,420	WONG, KWOK F	Wong, Kwok Ping	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Timothy F. Simone	3742		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	ddress	
WHI0 - Exte afte - If No - Faile Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does not time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the unit of the provision of the provisio	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a r will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AE	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this of the companion of the c		
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/15	<u>/09</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the	e merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	)				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	pplication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been	received in this National	Stage	
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,420

Art Unit: 3742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. For example, there is no support in the original specification for the following amended claim language: "the driving gear bar is connected to the lifting rack securely" (claim 1, line 9), etc. This is a new matter rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either one of Arnedo, et al. (US 6,655,262) or Birkert, et al. (US 5,044,263) in view of Waak (US 3,765,120) or Ahn (US 5,590,584). Claims 1-3 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Art Unit: 3742

either one of Arnedo, et al. (US 6,655,262) or Birkert, et al. (US 5,044,263).

Arnedo, et al teaches a transformer installed to reduce the voltage of the alternating current which supplies power to the circuit board (13) through rectifier device (col. 3, line 10 - col. 4, line 46). Birkert, et al. teaches a circuit (10) and diode rectifier (4) changing the alternating current to direct current. The patents to Waak and Ahn have been cited to teach mechanical gear structure for controlling movement in toasters, per se, to be obvious and well known in the art. Thus, it would have been an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided either one of Arnedo, et al. or Birkert, et al. with mechanical gear means in the manner suggested by either one of Waak (i.e. Figs. 1 & 6) or Ahn (i.e. Fig. 1) in order to move the rack mechanically with gears, if so desired. The precise arrangement of parts is nothing more than a matter of obvious design choice to a skilled artisan. The remaining limitations would then have been obvious design choices only.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy F. Simone whose telephone number is 571-272-1407. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays between 8:00am-5:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,420 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy F. Simone/

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 3742

Timothy F. Simone Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742